



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/997,264	1	1/28/2001	Jacques Prodel	45976/DBP/N75	3744	
23363	7590	03/26/2004		EXAMINER		
	•	R & HALE, LLP OO BOULEVARD		HONG, JOHN C		
SUITE 500		JO DOULL VINED		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PASADENA	A, CA 91	105		3726	18	
				DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summan	09/997,264	PRODEL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of the	John C. Hong	3726	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period facility of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirts will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communic	cation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09.	lanuary 2004		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	3 action is non-illial. Bince except for formal matte	ore proposition on to the month	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quavle 1935 C.D.	11 453 O.G. 212	S IS
Disposition of Claims	, Ladye, 1000 0.5.	11, 400 0.0. 210.	
4) Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		v the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1 85(a)	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1 12	1(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152	r(u).
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
	- h1		
— september of the priority document	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	s nave been received in App	olication No	
The state of the prior	Tity documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	of the condition to an in		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not re	ceived.	
tachment(s)			
	4) Interview Sun	nmary (PTO-413)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		7 v · - · · · · /	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/N	Лаil Date	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/997,264

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GB1593738.

'738 teaches an installation for the part-carrying pallets, comprising a support frame for supporting modules for circulation of the pallets, the support frame comprises at least one vertical frame arrangement formed by a rigid structure from standard profile members, with adjustable feet for adjusting horizontality of the upper surfaced portion (Fig.19, 19a, 20 and 32; page 8, lines 105-119).

Regarding Claim 1, the limitation of "formed by rigid mecano-welded structure from standard profile members"; and Regarding Claim 2, the limitation of "profile members of steel of type NIP", it has been held that "[E] ven though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Regarding Claim 1, the limitation of "boxes having a lower portion provided adjustable feet for adjusting a horizontal positioning of the upper surfaced portion", it is well known in the

Application/Control Number: 09/997,264

Art Unit: 3726

art to utilizing a boxes having a lower portion provided adjustable feet for adjusting a horizontal positioning of the upper surfaced portion" and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize such boxes with adjustable feet so as to adjusting a horizontal positioning of the upper surfaced portion. (e.g. GB 2309923)

Regarding Claim 3, '783 teaches a lower horizontal profile member(705), an upper horizontal profile member(717), an intermediate member(714) in Fig. 19a

Regarding boxes comprising upper surfaced portion capable of receiving at least one module is obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to utilize boxes for carrying workpieces instead of flat pallets which is well known in the art, and It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize such boxes for carrying workpieces that are not flat.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 1/9/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See the New Office action.

Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 09/997,264

Art Unit: 3726

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John C. Hong whose telephone number is 703-305-0779. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(07:00-16:30)First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 703-308-1784. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AS

John C. Hong Primary Examiner Art Unit 3726

jh March 25, 2004